
With solid waste management, there are several common barriers. It is not very important how to classify them; some, as illustrated in the list below, could fit under more than one determinant. Rather, the purpose of this list is to suggest some solid waste determinants to be alert for.

- Does the individual think he or she can perform the behavior? Is it easy to do? (Perceived competence)
 - 1. Sorting trash on a regular basis is time-consuming, inconvenient, and unpleasant.
 - 2. Composting takes time, is smelly, attracts flies and rats, and is hard to do; previous attempts at composting have failed.
 - 3. Taking waste to a collection center to be recycled is time-consuming and inconvenient.
 - 4. It is difficult to know what can be recycled and what cannot (e.g., different grades of plastic, different types of paper, different kinds of batteries).
 - 5. There are no convenient, attractive, and durable household receptacles with lids in which to store the separate trash categories.
 - 6. It isn't known how to make useful and even profitable items from plastic and other types of waste.
 - 7. The quality of compost made at a resource recovery center for community distribution is difficult to maintain and guarantee.
 - 8. Individuals might steal personal trash receptacles.
- Does the individual think "people who matter" would approve of the behavior? Is it popular? (Perceived social norms)
 - 1. People might think that recycling, composting, or making crafts from waste is odd behavior and a waste of time.
- Does the individual think that good things will happen if he or she performs the behavior? Will there be benefits? (Perceived positive consequences)
 - 1. Litter isn't perceived as an eyesore; people are used to it so it doesn't matter.
 - 2. There are no apparent environmental, health, or economic benefits to recycling plastic, paper, or glass that are worth the effort.
 - 3. Leaving trash in a communal open dump moves it sufficiently far away so it is no longer a nuisance.
 - 4. Removing open dumps won't decrease personal contact with flies, rats, and feral dogs.
 - 5. Community governments don't see all the ways that improved solid waste management can benefit the community (economically, health-wise, environmentally, improved quality of life).
- Does the individual think that bad things will happen if he or she performs the behavior? Will there be disadvantages? (Perceived negative consequences)
 - 1. Sorting trash is dirty, unpleasant drudgery that can actually make you sick.
 - 2. Keeping trash around until it can be picked up or taken to a collection center is smelly and unpleasant and attracts flies, rats, and other undesirable creatures.

- 3. Because the community collection center is far away, it is inconvenient to carry the recyclable waste there on a regular basis.
- Can the individual get the products or services required to perform the behavior? (Access)
 - 1. There is no collection center.
 - 2. There are no trash cans in the community.
 - 3. There are trash cans in the community, but no one empties them and takes the trash to an acceptable location, so they fill up, overflow, and attract wasps and bees.
 - 4. There are no receptacles that households can use to segregate and store different trash categories.
 - 5. There is no community trash pick up or transportation available to take household recyclables to a community collection center.
 - 6. There is no transport that can take recyclables or household hazardous waste materials to a facility outside the community that can process them.
 - 7. There are no accessible places that will accept and adequately process plastic, glass, paper, metal, and other recyclables.
 - 8. There are no accessible places that will safely process hazardous waste and electronic waste.
- Can the individual remember to do the behavior and how to do it? (Cues for action/reminders)
 - 1. It's hard to remember when trash is picked up.
 - 2. It's difficult to remember how to properly compost or separate recyclables.
- Does the individual feel vulnerable to the problem? (Perceived susceptibility/risk)
 - 1. Trash burning in a communal dump is distant enough that the smoke is diluted when it reaches the home, posing no personal risk.
 - 2. There is no significant risk from contracting malaria and other mosquito-borne diseases as a consequence of trash being left in open dumps.
 - 3. There is no significant risk of contracting diseases because of open trash pits if you don't actually come into physical contact with them.
 - 4. Leaving trash in a communal open dump moves it sufficiently far away so it is no longer a personal nuisance.
- Does the individual feel the problem is serious? (Perceived severity)
 - 1. Breathing smoke from burning trash and other trash items doesn't seriously compromise health.
 - 2. Litter may be unattractive, but it doesn't really matter.
 - 3. Open dumps might be ugly, but they don't really matter: They don't significantly contaminate water supplies through leachate, increase populations of mosquitoes and other vermin, or pose a disease risk to people who come into physical contact with them.

- Does the individual believe the behavior will solve the problem?
 - 1. Cleaning up open dumps won't really reduce the incidence of mosquito-borne disease and the abundance of flies, rats, and feral dogs.
 - 2. Recycling won't significantly reduce the amount of litter.
 - 3. Composting won't lead to significant gains in crop yield.
- Does the individual believe that it's God's will that he or she has the problem, or that God will solve it?
- Do existing laws and policy encourage or discourage adopting the behavior? (Policy)
 - 1. There aren't adequate country or community laws regulating the handling of solid waste, and there isn't adequate enforcement.
 - 2. There aren't sound country or community policies about solid waste.
 - 3. The country/community isn't committed to implementing its policy.
 - 4. The country or community isn't making an adequate effort to educate citizens about the policy or laws.
- Does the culture encourage or discourage adopting the behavior? (Culture)
 - 1. People are used to doing what they are doing—e.g., littering, burning, dumping—and don't see anything wrong with it. Everyone does it.
 - 2. People aren't used to recycling.
 - 3. Composting implies that a person is too impoverished to use commercial fertilizer.
 - 4. Only poor and desperate people work with trash; it's embarrassing and associated with low status.

How to Identify Behavior Change Barriers

Once your counterparts and other members of your community have identified and agreed on desired behavior changes, identifying the barriers to those behavior changes is crucial if any efforts are going to result in meaningful change. As a Peace Corps Volunteer, you should have opportunities to collaborate and contribute to this effort.

Living at your site, you have time to develop trust and friendships that can encourage people to share with you what they are thinking and feeling, and their concerns, hopes, and dreams. Over time, you can observe what people are actually doing. You can gain insights about beliefs, values, patterns of thinking, and worldviews that will not reveal themselves to others who are only in your community for a short time. You also have the support of Peace Corps staff and have been trained by them, and you know how to employ PACA tools to help you integrate into your community.

Below are a few ways to learn about the barriers to adopting sound solid waste management action.

• Ask a local expert.

People who have had experience helping people manage solid waste or that have a deep and informed understanding of the people with whom you are working can be excellent sources of information. These individuals include your counterpart and community residents you know and trust, but can also include extension agents, NGO personnel, and Peace Corps staff.

• Observe people who do and don't engage in the desired behavior, and compare them to identify similarities and differences.

Notice, as unobtrusively as possible, who is performing the behavior and who is not. Try to understand what the differences are between the two groups. For example, who is composting or recycling and who is not? Do the "doers" have anything in common with one another that the "non-doers" do not, and vice versa? Perhaps, for instance, the "doers" know that compost makes soil more fertile and capable of storing water during drought and the "non-doers" do not. Or maybe recyclers live closer to the collection center than those who are not recycling, or they have kids who participate in an environmental club at school where they learn about recycling and the non-recyclers do not. If there are clear differences between "doers" and "non-doers," perhaps this knowledge can lead to a strategy to motivate the "non-doers" to join the "doers."

One advantage of observation is that over time people take observers for granted and don't notice them. If performed with an open mind, observation can uncover unexpected information. One disadvantage is that observations might not be representative of all performers of a behavior. Also, generalizations made from informal observations, without concrete data, may not be accurate. Furthermore, observers can change how people behave by observing them, and observers might be biased in their observations by gender, circumstance, or personal characteristics.

• Facilitate focus groups.

As discussed in Step #2 above, focus groups bring together a randomly selected group of people who share a common characteristic, along with a facilitator. The facilitator comes prepared with a list of questions and discussion topics and records the conversation to capture any discoveries and insights. The value of a focus group is that the participants encourage each other to think more deeply about the topic and also provide a truth check. If one person says something that isn't true, another person in the group can correct or contradict that statement.

Each meeting has three parts: the opening, the questions, and the closing. During the opening, the facilitator welcomes the group, introduces the purpose and context of the focus group, explains what a focus group is and what will happen, and makes introductions. The facilitator should ask the focus group participants if any of them have experience with focus groups; if so, they may be invited to explain to the others what focus groups are and how they are used to learn about a subject. During the question section, participants ask and discuss the questions. At the closing, facilitators thank the participants, give them an opportunity for further input, and tell them how the information will be used.

Some points to keep in mind: Participants for the focus group are usually selected randomly from the target group. There should be separate groups of doers and non-doers of the target behavior, if they are known. Ideally, the number of participants should be between 5 and 10. Allow a couple of hours for the discussion. The facilitator should plan to ask fewer than 10 questions. Questions should be carefully written and edited based on what was learned from the background research, observation, and interviews. The facilitator can use a few warm-up questions to get the conversation flowing and then move to the more important questions. Participants should be reminded that there are no right or wrong answers, that the goal of the exercise

is to gather their perceptions. The facilitator should pay close attention to group dynamics, giving everyone a chance to participate. During the conversation, someone should tabulate the number of times different statements are said, and note the most common statements.

Focus groups are very useful when learning about social and cultural norms. They provide indepth information, and indicate the issues most important to the participants. They also reveal how people typically talk about an issue. Focus groups are time efficient because they offer many opinions in a short amount of time. The disadvantage is that with so many voices, fewer questions can be asked. They are also not statistically representative, so the results can't be generalized to the broader population. Of all the methods, focus groups require the strongest language skills. They are harder to conduct with a translator because translation slows down the dynamic. A high level of sophistication with the language is required to understand nuances and decode the interactions, so having a local counterpart in the role of facilitator may be best.

• Conduct surveys.

Surveys can reach many more people than focus groups, and they are intended to produce data that can be statistically analyzed. By involving a large sample, surveys can provide insights into what the majority of people think about a subject. Survey questions should be clearly and simply stated. The interviewer should test the questions in advance to make sure they are properly understood.

"Intercept surveys" involve approaching individuals in public places, such as markets, with very short surveys about the individuals' preferences, perceptions, or behavior. For example, a survey could be just two questions

- 1. "Do you do (the behavior)?"
- 2. If yes, "Why?" If no, "Why not?"

Surveys may not be appropriate to use in some cultures because of issues of trust and suspicion. Also, as a Peace Corps Volunteer integrated into the community, you may find this technique to be too formal and off-putting to people with whom you typically relate in a relaxed, friendly manner. Community members and counterparts might feel more comfortable using this technique.

Converse one-on-one.

Where appropriate, you can also ask about people's behavior in one-on-one conversations. You can do this through formal interviews or informal conversations with people like neighbors and friends who know you and who feel comfortable talking with you.

With formal interviews, plan the questions ahead of time. If conducting multiple interviews, try to ask the same questions the same way, but you can ask follow-up questions when they might provide useful information. Interviews can be informal, or they can follow structured question-naires.

When preparing for interviews, be completely honest with the interviewees about your reasons for doing the interview. Organize your questions in a logical way and consider how you are going to process the results when you write the questions. Be alert to any factors that might lead to inaccurate answers; for example, interviewees often answer in a way they assume the intervieweer wants to hear, not what they really think. You can also end up with inaccurate responses

by asking questions in a leading way or by reacting positively or negatively to answers. Code your notes and keep recordings confidential. Do not reveal the identities of your sources unless they give permission to do so. Of course, be polite, respectful, and considerate of interviewees' time. Conduct the interviews in quiet locations to avoid distractions.

Though less structured, you can also pick up valuable insights over time in the course of informal conversations. It is crucial to any of your efforts to get to know people, gain their trust, and, through day-to-day interactions, develop an accurate understanding of their beliefs and concerns.

When you and your community partners are conducting the barrier analysis, be alert to another potential target audience: the so-called influencing group. **Members of this group will be the people who influence the attitudes and behavior of the primary group. They might be family members, religious leaders, extension agents, close friends, political party leaders, or individuals in the community that people look up to.** Should you identify an influencing group, you may decide to include them as a target audience.

When working alongside counterparts while they design an effective behavior change strategy, it is important to know the priority group well. Applying PACA tools at the beginning of and throughout your service should prove critical. You should never stop learning about the people in your community—the longer you spend in your community, the more opportunities you will have to gain insights.

Stages of Change

If you and your community counterparts have decided that educating priority group members or influencing group members is the most promising strategy for bringing about behavior change, then together you will need to determine how much the target audience already knows. If people are already aware there is a solid waste problem, there won't be a need to focus on increasing their awareness.

It will be helpful, therefore, to determine the stage of change that the target audience is in.

The Stages of Change⁴⁶

The stages of change are:

- 1. Pre-contemplation—not yet acknowledging that there is a problem behavior that needs to be changed
- Contemplation—acknowledging that there is a problem but not yet ready or sure of wanting to make a change
- 3. Preparation/determination—getting ready to change
- 4. Action/willpower—changing behavior
- 5. Maintenance—maintaining the behavior change
- 6. Relapse—returning to older behaviors and abandoning the new changes

^{46.} Adapted from Continuing and Professional Education, Virginia Tech University; available at http://www.cpe.vt.edu/gttc/presentations/8eStagesofChange.pdf

Stage One: Pre-Contemplation

In the pre-contemplation stage, people are not thinking seriously about changing and are not interested in any kind of help. People in this stage tend to defend their current habit(s) and do not feel their behavior is a problem. They may be defensive in the face of other people's efforts to pressure them to change.

Stage Two: Contemplation

In the contemplation stage, people are more aware of the personal consequences of their present habits, and they spend time thinking about them. Although they are able to consider the possibility of changing, they tend to be ambivalent about it.

In this stage, people are on a teeter-totter, weighing the pros and cons of quitting or modifying their behavior. Although they think about the negative aspects of their current behavior and the positives associated with giving



something up (or reducing), they may doubt that the long-term benefits associated with changing will outweigh the short-term costs.

It might take as little as a couple weeks or as long as a lifetime to get through the contemplation stage. (In fact, some people think and think and think about giving up their behaviors, but may never get beyond this stage.) On the plus side, people at this stage are more open to receiving information about their behaviors and more likely to actually use educational interventions.

Stage Three: Preparation/Determination

In the preparation/determination stage, people have made a commitment to make a change. Their motivation for changing is reflected by statements such as: "I've got to do something about this. Something has to change. What can I do?" This is sort of a research phase: People are now taking small steps, trying to learn how to change their behavior.

Stage Four: Action

This is the stage where people believe they have the ability to change their behavior and are actively involved in taking steps to do so. People at this stage tend to be open to receiving help and are also likely to seek support from others. Hopefully, people will then move to...

Stage Five: Maintenance

At this stage, people maintain the new behavior. They remain aware that what they are striving for is worthwhile and meaningful. They are patient with themselves and recognize that it often takes a while to let go of old behaviors and practice new ones until the new ones are second nature. Even though they may think about returning to their previous behaviors, they resist the temptation and stay on track, though they may temporarily relapse.

Stage of Change	Characteristics	Techniques
Pre-contemplation (awareness)	Not currently considering change: "Ignorance is bliss"	Begin making target audience aware of benefits of changing behavior and ways to do so Encourage re-evaluation of current behav- ior
Contemplation	Ambivalent about change: "Sitting on the fence" Identifying and promoting	Continue identifying and promoting new behaviors Encourage evaluation of pros and cons of behavior change
Preparation	Some experience with change and are trying to change: "Testing the waters"	Identify and assist in problem solving re: obstacles Verify that people have skills needed for behavior change Encourage small initial steps
Action	Practicing new behavior	Encourage new behavior and trouble- shoot obstacles
Maintenance	Continued commitment to sustaining new behavior	Provide follow-up support and reinforce new behaviors
Relapse	Resumption of old behaviors	Determine causes for relapse, reassess barriers, and address

To record what is learned, the individuals monitoring the priority group can use the chart below:

Behavior to be changed	
Priority group	
Influencing group	
Knowledge, skills, and atti- tudes (KSAs) related to the behavior	(What the priority group knows, feels, and practices regarding the behavior)
What does the priority group want?	(Common desires and motivations)
What do they do?	(What most people spend their days doing: Where do they go? Where do they meet?)
Priority group demographics	(Age, income, residence, skill set, language, education level)

Barriers to the desired behavior	(What prevents members of the group from practicing the desired behavior?)
Stage in the change process	(Pre-contemplation, contemplation, preparation/determination, action, mainte- nance, relapse)

Write a Bridge to Activities

Now that the barriers preventing people from adopting new solid waste management practices have been identified, the next step is to describe activities that aim to break those barriers down. This will be described in the program's **bridge to activities**. The "bridge" is very important, because it summarizes what your counterparts and you will be trying to accomplish. Once that is clear, your counterparts and you will be able to select the activities most likely to realize the program objectives.

A bridge to an activity is usually a simple, straightforward statement, typically beginning with a directional verb (e.g., increase, decrease, improve, reinforce) and proposing to change the perception of the priority group. The reasons people give for their behaviors are often rooted in their perceptions, not in objective facts. Bridges to activities are always about the priority group, so it is not necessary to mention the priority group in the wording. There is always at least one bridge to an activity written for each reason for behavior found to be important.

Here are some examples:

- *The barrier:* People do not know where to recycle plastic.
 - *The bridge to activities:* Increase people's access to a place where they can take recyclable plastic by establishing a collection center.
- *The barrier:* People don't see the connection between open dumps and incidence of mosquitoborne diseases.
 - The bridge to activities: Increase people's perception that open dumps can lead to increases in mosquito numbers. Local hospitals or health clinics sometimes carry informative materials or have statistics that can be used to make the issue relatable.
- *The barrier:* People have no place in the town plaza in which to deposit trash.
 - *The bridge to activities:* Provide trash cans in the plaza where people can put waste. Work with the local government to find an option that would work best for your community.
- *The barrier:* People believe that composting is too difficult and causes too many problems with odors, flies, and rodents.
 - The bridge to activities: Increase people's perception that composting is easy and, if done properly, does not lead to offensive odors or vermin. Create your own small compost to serve as an example.

Once the bridges to activities have been clearly stated, define what the subsequent activities will attempt to accomplish.

Select Activities to Break Down the Behavior Change Barriers

Now your community counterparts are ready to decide on what those behavior change activities are. Activities should be developed only after goals are determined, and those activities should be selected based on all the information captured in your group's community assessment. There should be a clear connection between the activities selected and the behavior change barriers that have been identified.

Activity descriptions start with an action verb, for instance: "Organize the youth club to prepare an exhibit at the local school describing how to recycle household waste," or "Conduct a workshop at a local farm demonstrating composting techniques." It should be very clear that the activities address the barriers or create incentives recorded in the planning chart.

Continuing with the examples above, you and the community members you're working alongside can do the following:

- *The barrier:* People know of no place where they can take plastic to recycle.
 - The bridge to activities: Increase people's access to a place where they can take recyclable plastic by establishing a collection center.
 - The activities:
 - Speak to the town governing council about establishing a plastics collection center.
 - Locate a facility nearby that will accept plastic waste.
- *The barrier:* People don't see the connection between open dumps and incidence of mosquitoborne diseases.
 - The bridge to activities: Increase people's perception that open dumps can lead to increases in mosquito numbers.
 - The activities:
 - Set up an old tire and some plastic containers on the school grounds and fill with water, watch for arrival of mosquito larvae daily, and, when they appear, take students, teachers, town leaders, and members of the farmers' group and the women's co-op to see them (and then empty the water).
- *The barrier:* People have no place in the town plaza in which to deposit trash.
 - *The bridge to activities:* Provide trash cans in the plaza where people can put waste.
 - The activities:
 - Work with the kids in the school environmental club to make trash bins out of plastic soda bottles.
 - Arrange with the town and school leaders to inaugurate the bins with a community event that will promote their use among residents.
- *The barrier:* People believe that composting is too difficult and causes too many problems with odors, flies, and rodents.
 - The bridge to activities: Increase people's perception that composting is easy and, if done properly, does not lead to offensive odors or vermin
 - The activities:
 - Set up a compost pile at the community center and use it to demonstrate to members of the farmers' group and the women's co-op how to compost.
 - Schedule time to visit the households that attempt to compost to provide ongoing advice and encouragement.

Once the activities phase is completed, it should be clear how the activities directly relate to the behavior barriers and how everything in the plan holds together. To ensure this is the case, trace the connections backwards (a chart or table illustrating the plan can help). Does the activity clearly connect to the objective as expressed in the bridge? Will achieving the bridge objective break down the behavior change barrier? If the stated barrier falls, will that motivate and enable the target audience to do what's needed to address the issue? If the target audience acts in accordance with the plan, will that solve the problem?

Before actually implementing the program, the program should again be reviewed by a number of individuals. These individuals include knowledgeable experts, counterparts, Peace Corps staff, people in the community whose judgment and honesty you trust, and the people who will be expected to actually carry out the plan. All of them should say whether they clearly see the connections, if the plan makes sense, if it stands a reasonable chance for success in solving the problem, and if it seems plausible. If they don't see how the plan holds together, it very well may not. In that case, the proposed activities may not break down the relevant barriers, and behavior change may not occur. They should also say whether they see any likely difficulties and obstacles that will present a challenge implementing the plan and, if so, if they can recommend how to confront them. The plan should be adjusted around this feedback.

Implement the Program

Finally, the program can begin! It is worth repeating that the efforts behind this program should be based on a careful assessment of the situation being addressed. You and your partners should not, in other words, begin here and think of a rationale afterwards.

Responsibility Matrix

ACTIVITY	w N	HO CAN DO IT	r?	WHO DOE	S WHAT?
	WE CAN DO IT OURSELVES	WE CAN DO IT WITH HELP	THESTATE	ASSOCIATION	NGO

PACA tool to plan out who does what when

		Month											
Activity	Responsible Party	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12

Activity	Sub Activity	Person in Charge	Month
Producing traditional	Undertaking community mapping on SWM	Counterpart and PCV	Within first 3 months at site
(non-plastic) bags	Confirming community interest in alterna- tives to plastic bags	Counterpart and PCV	Month 4
	Speaking with community leaders and po- tentially interested parties (e.g., local women's groups) on production of non- plastics alternative bags	Counterpart, PCV, and interested members of the community	Month 4
	Undertaking a market survey on the de- mand for non-plastic alternative bags	Counterpart, PCV, and interested members of the community	Month 5
	Identifying locally available materials for producing non-plastic bags	Counterpart, PCV, and interested members of the community	Months 5–6
	Identifying financial and logistical require- ments for producing non-plastic bags	Counterpart, PCV, and interested members of the community	Months 5–7
	Identifying potential producers	Counterpart, PCV, and interested members of the community	Months 5–7
	Organizing bookkeeping, management, and marketing training for producers of non- plastic bags	Counterpart, PCV, and/or interested NGO and producers	Months 7–9
	Opening of local bank accounts and MOUs with suppliers of raw materials and sup- portive NGOs	Producers and inter- ested NGO(s) (sup- ported by the PCV and counterpart)	Month 9
	Accessing materials, financing, and logisti- cal support to produce non-plastic bags	Producers (supported by the PCV, counter- part, and NGO)	Months 8–10
	Develop and initiate marketing campaign for non-plastic bags	Producers (supported by the PCV, counter- part, and NGO)	Month 9 and onwards
	Production and sale of non-plastic bags	Producers (supported by the PCV, counter- part, and NGO)	Month 10 and onwards

Goal 1: Producing local alternatives to single-use plastic bags

Goal 2: The inhabitants of Santo Domingo will receive and participate in waste collection services in their homes

Activity	Responsible Party	Month											
Community-Wide		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Technical Exchange – Trip to Loja, Ecuador	Municipal representatives, PCV	x	x										
House visits to raise awareness about waste management in inhabitants	Municipal representatives, PCV, Social Services		x	x	x	x	x	x					
Creation of informative brochures	Municipal representatives, PCV		x	x									
Radio and TV spots	Municipal representatives, PCV, Social Services		x	x	x	x	x	x	x	×	x	x	x
Mural Painting	Municipal representatives, PCV						x	x					
Clean up campaigns with the community	Municipal representatives, PCV, Social Services		x			x			x				
Announce collection schedule	Municipal representatives, PCV, Social Services					x							
Create and pass out information sheet (collection schedule)	Municipal representatives, PCV, Social Services					x							
School Program													
Meeting with school directors	Municipal representatives, PCV				x								
Training & Follow up with teachers	Municipal representatives, PCV				x	x							
Environmental education activities with regard to waste	Municipal representatives, PCV					X	x	x	x	x	x	x	х
Drawing contest with waste theme	Municipal representatives, PCV									x			

Checklist for Implementing a Solid Waste Management System

(Not all the categories will be applicable to every type of waste management system)

Logistics

- Is there a set collection schedule?
- Is there an efficient collection route?
- Is there a trained collection staff?
- Is there a secure storage area (for implements, waste, recyclable material, compost, etc.)?
- Is there a defined final disposal site?

Community Participation

- Is there community participation in the management of the project?
- Is there a set schedule to call community meetings?
- Have community members been appropriately trained to participate in the waste program (take out their trash, separate their waste, not throw waste in the streets, etc.)?
- Are community members aware of the benefits of participating?
- Do community members know the waste collection schedule?

Financial Management

- Is there a clear responsible party or parties for the waste system?
- Have all the expenses been accounted for:
 - Trainings (workers, residents, etc.)
 - Educational materials
 - Workers' salaries
 - Transportation
 - Storage costs (waste, recyclable material, compost, etc.)
 - Cleaning implements (brooms, dustpans, etc.)
 - Uniforms/safety equipment
 - Maintenance costs
 - Incidentals (paper, markers, and snacks for meetings)

Monitor and Evaluate the Program

It is important that the program is continually monitored and adjusted based on findings.

• As a Volunteer, you undertake the activity in the context of your sector's LPF. You are expected to use the PACA toolkit to undertake the community assessment using the Household Survey tool (see page 61). Following the completion of the community assessment, you will share the key findings with the local counterparts and community members to identify and agree on the recommendations. Prior to initiating any activity, the next step is to consult with your program manager (PM) or program and training specialist (PTS) to obtain feedback and finalize the recommendations. You will work with the local counterparts, community members, and relevant Peace Corps staff to identify the activities based on the recommendations and agree on implementation strategy.

- After discussing the proposed activity and receiving approval to proceed, you will work alongside your counterparts, interested community members, relevant Peace Corps staff, and others to define the outcomes (what is it that members of the community want to see happen) and the indicators, which will help tell whether the activities are on track to accomplish the desired outcomes.
- Since a key part of Volunteer service is transferring technical knowledge and skills, it is essential
 that you work closely with community members at every stage of this process. Sustainability of
 the activities (i.e., the activity continuing after your departure) is often determined by community members who own and value the activity, and who see its continuation as being in their
 material interest.

How to Evaluate the Program

There are often a large variety of factors that determine the success or failure of an activity. Some of these factors you and your counterparts may have some control over (e.g., the quality of the community assessment, the development of survey tools). However, some of the key factors that determine the final outcome are dependent on sustained behavior change (e.g., commitment of community members, response of the community at large to the project), and these factors may be beyond the influence of the individuals you are working with. We will be using pre- and post-assessment to measure any changes in behaviors and practices of the community in solid waste management and compare results. You will use the Household Survey tool between 9–12 months after the completion of the initial assessment to measure changes in behavior and practices related to solid waste management in the community (compared with the findings of the initial assessment).

Record Your Experience

Regardless of whether you feel the activities you were involved in have succeeded or failed in advancing community goals, it is important to accurately record what happened so that others can learn from your experience. So often, Peace Corps Volunteers learn unique insights that can prove useful to other Volunteers, present and future, and to other development workers; but often that information gets lost. In addition to entering your direct activity results (outputs and outcomes), you will share lessons learned, promising practices, and successes through your responses to activity questions and stories in Volunteer Reporting and Grants (VRG). You may also be given opportunities to share your insights with other Peace Corps Volunteers, perhaps in pre-service or in-service training events, or in your final documentation when you complete your service.

To be useful to others who are interested in replicating or continuing your activities, you must go beyond simply reporting that you contributed to the start of a recycling campaign or worked with partners to teach 12 households how to compost. **Instead, it will be vital to describe the context in which you participated in these activities.** In recording your experience, therefore, be sure to describe:

- The issues you and your community confronted and the evidence that these issues were present and worth addressing
- The solutions that your community partners tried to encourage
- The barriers to these solutions that were identified and the evidence for those conclusions
- How you and your partners confronted these barriers
- The target audiences, both priority group and influencing groups
- The strategies employed and the information presented

- How the chosen strategies were implemented, how the information was communicated, and why these approaches were chosen
- The results
- How you and your community partners modified the program to address issues revealed as the project proceeded
- Challenges encountered and measures attempted to confront them
- Lessons learned and recommendations for others attempting similar efforts

Chapter 3: Some SWM Activities for Communities, Households, Youth Clubs, Schools

Peace Corps Volunteers have worked with communities on a range of activities to improve solid waste management. Volunteers have worked with individual households, schools, youth clubs, organizations (such as women's groups and local governments), and entire communities. This chapter describes some Peace Corps Volunteers' activities, with the idea that this may be instructive in developing activities that will contribute toward achieving your community's goals.

Once again, however, it is essential that a community assessment precedes any project-based activities. To maximize the effectiveness of your always-limited time and resources, activities should address the behavior change barriers that have been identified.

Building Awareness in the Community

Increasing community awareness is appropriate when, beside the community members you are working with, there has been apparently little or no consideration of improving solid waste practices, how to employ different practices, and why new practices might be in people's best interests. But do not assume that members of your community are unaware of different practices, or that increasing awareness is a necessary first step. It may very well be that the community is already aware and is not implementing other waste management practices for various reasons.

Awareness-Building Methods

 Community workshops. Volunteers and community partners can begin resolving solid waste issues at the community level by conducting workshops for selected groups, such as women's organizations or farmer co-ops, or for the general public. Such presentations can be efficient ways of reaching a relatively large number of people. By sharing their thoughts and questions, people can reinforce each other's enthusiasm, and initiatives can build momentum. Workshops are not, however, typically sufficient by themselves in affecting people's behavior. Rather, they are most useful in generating interest in the topic at hand. Volunteers and community members who employ group workshops, therefore, should plan to follow up with the participants individually.

A community barrier analysis should reveal the specific individuals who warrant special attention and the information they should receive. Knowing this, it may be necessary to find an appropriate community entity to sponsor the workshop or event—preferably an entity that is interested in the topic and able to attract the target audience the event is meant to reach.

Clean-up campaigns. Community clean-ups are particularly popular with youth groups. They are
comparatively simple in concept and easy to do, and the results are obvious and frequently dramatic, so the participants feel they have accomplished something. Such campaigns can be effective educational experiences for the entire community; everyone can see how much trash has
been collected and how different an area looks when the trash is removed. As a consequence,
communities can feel motivated to tackle solid waste issues more systematically.

In organizing clean-up efforts, you and your community partners need to first identify potential participants, most likely youth members of clubs and camps, according to their interest and capacity for implementing the clean-up. Community members should pinpoint any entities that

could sponsor the campaigns (e.g., school administrations and teachers) and work with them to organize, promote, and facilitate the campaigns.

During a clean-up campaign, organizers and participants need to pay close attention to safety issues. Participants should be provided with latex gloves, and they should keep away from broken glass, jagged metal, and piles of organic waste that may be harboring rodents and stray dogs. Also, clean-up organizers should identify a sanitary and environmentally sound place to dump or recycle the trash and a means of getting the trash to where it is supposed to go. Otherwise, the collected trash will just remain bagged in limbo.

Some examples of communication and messaging tools that are often used to raise public awareness in such campaigns include:

- Informational brochures
- Household visits
- Posters
- Murals painted by students or members of clubs and camps
- Radio and TV spots

Working with Individual Households to Implement Individual Solid Waste Management Practices

Households can do much to handle their solid waste, even in the absence of coordinated community solid waste programs, like trash pick up and recycling. For example:

- Composting organic waste, like food scraps
- Feeding organic waste to chickens and livestock
- Using alternatives to plastic bags and other non-biodegradable items
- Reusing and retooling plastic soda bottles instead of throwing them away
- Burying non-biodegradable waste, such as plastic, metal, and hazardous waste containers, instead of burning them or dumping them in the open; ideally, they will do so using sanitary minilandfills
- Cleaning up litter around the home, especially open containers where mosquitoes can breed

Some important steps to keep in mind:

- To begin with, it is essential that you and community members involved in the project establish good relationships and trust with the household members.
- Learn how people are presently managing their waste, and identify how they might do so in more sanitary and environmentally friendly ways. Use observation and interviews, either formal or informal, to learn this information. Use the Solid Waste Observations checklist and the Household Solid Waste Survey form (starting on page 61) to record your findings.
- Identify who, specifically, in the households is responsible for handling different types of waste. Who takes care of the household garden and would prepare and use compost? Who burns the trash? Who uses plastic bags to go to the market? Who decides how to manage waste? (This may not be the same person who actually does the work!)

- Conduct a household barrier analysis. What is preventing the household members from adopting improved ways to manage solid waste?
- Often, household members with whom you and your community partners need to work aren't aware of why changing their solid waste practices is in their best interests, and they might not know how to best manage their waste. For example, household members might not know how to compost or what to do with old batteries and pesticide containers. So, project participants might then try to educate and demonstrate best practices. Or members of the household may not have access to the materials they need (e.g., ash and lime for composting); in such a case, someone on the project may want to find required materials.
- Once improved waste-management practices have been demonstrated and the households appear ready to adopt them, members of the project should plan to visit the households repeatedly to troubleshoot and provide additional encouragement, until the households have demonstrated the mastery, commitment, and confidence to keep going without continued oversight.

Working with Schools to Improve Their Solid Waste Management Practices and to Educate Students on the Subject

Schools generate trash, like food scraps and plastic soda bottles. Interested schools can manage their waste properly. Solid waste management practices can be applied in the classroom and in after-school clubs.

Educating students can, in turn, educate their communities. Students can sponsor events, such as Earth Day celebrations, feature solid waste management practices like composting and recycling in school science fairs, and conduct litter clean-ups. Some student groups have organized themselves to pick up recyclables from households, sell them to recycling facilities, and use the funds for club activities. Students can also relate what they have learned to their families and neighbors. School programs involving composting and recycling can serve as examples for all.

Some important steps to keep in mind when approaching schools about waste-management practices:

- As with any project, the essential first step is to establish trust and good relations, in this case with school administrations and teachers. Their buy-in is essential if improved school solid waste management and education efforts will be sustainable.
- Once a healthy working relationship is established between the school and members of the project, the next step is to establish a working group at the school, consisting perhaps of administrators, teachers, and/or students. The working group, with Volunteer and counterpart guidance and encouragement, can take a look at the current SWM practices at the school and come up with recommendations for improvement. The group can also identify the barriers that need to be overcome for the recommendations to become reality and how to break those barriers down. The *PACA Field Guide* has a variety of tools that you can use to identify problems, solutions, target audiences, barriers, and action plans that define who does what and when.
- The school would then carry out its program. Ideally, buy-in is obtained so that the program is sustained going forward.
- To incorporate solid waste management lessons and activities in the classroom, locate appropriate classes, such as science or health classes, where this material can be taught, and enlist teacher support for the effort (if you have access to other Peace Corps resources, consult Peace

Corps Manual M0044, *Environmental Education in Schools* for tips on how to infuse environmental subject matter into school curricula). For enjoyable and effective club activities that teach about solid waste, consult Peace Corps Manual M0126, *Environmental Activities for Youth Clubs and Camps*, which lists a variety of SWM activities that can be undertaken with in-school and out-of-school youth.⁴⁷

• A sustainable project will continue to thrive after the departure of the Volunteer. It is important that the project design include leadership from counterparts and other community members. This may entail working with teachers or other respected community members to ensure that they are the public "face" of the activity and that their skill sets are continually strengthened as they take on increasing levels of responsibility.

Instructing Youth and Others How to Make Usable and Sometimes Saleable Items from Recycled Waste

People can make many items from recycled waste, especially plastic waste: planters, purses and handbags, baskets, brooms, jump ropes, soccer goal nets, and Christmas trees. Even benches and small buildings using eco bricks (plastic soda bottles stuffed with paper, plastic, or soil) can be made using discarded plastic. Volunteers and program participants in many countries have discovered or created a wide range of such items, and have taught youth, women's groups, and others to make and sometimes sell such things. This has reduced litter and waste that would have otherwise been disposed of, provided an enjoyable activity for youth clubs, and even provided some people with additional income.

This type of activity requires participants to collect the waste with which they will make objects. (In the case of some items, notably eco bricks, a lot of waste will need to be collected.) If saleable items have been made, participants and activity organizers can market and distribute the items.

In some cases, Volunteers have found item ideas on the Internet or arrived at their sites with previously acquired knowledge. In other cases, they worked alongside community members to adapt skills found in the community (e.g., basket making) to employ recycled waste instead of traditional materials. For examples of the variety of items that communities have made with recycled materials, see page 83.

Collaborating with Community Leaders and Appropriate Community Organizations to Establish Community Waste Policies and/or Systems that Support Solid Waste Management in the Community

Volunteers and community members, working with community leaders and organizations, have contributed to community efforts to carry out such practices as setting out trash cans in public places; organizing house-to-house trash pick up programs; establishing solid waste collection centers where people can bring recyclables and food scraps and collect compost; establishing and enforcing local ordinances discouraging littering; sponsoring awareness-raising community events such as Earth Day festivals; and encouraging teachers to incorporate SWM into their school programs.

Key to successfully bringing about change in community solid waste management policies and systems is establishing trust and cordial relations with the leaders and organizations that will be developing and implementing them. All involved—counterparts, involved community members, local leaders, organizations, and Volunteers—will need to come to a consensus about which solid waste management issues to address and how. Local leaders and organizations will know about community residents and how to motivate and organize them, so their advice, participation, and cooperation will be vital. Once strategies

^{47.} http://files.peacecorps.gov/documents/PC_Environmental_Activities_508_mNd3UVx.pdf

are agreed on, a Volunteer's role in supporting the efforts to realize the strategies will vary, depending on what is needed. For example, a Volunteer might supply technical or small grant support, assist in organizing meetings, bring in technical experts, or simply provide encouragement and motivation.

The following guidelines for establishing a community solid waste management system are adapted from *Solid Waste Management: A Facilitator's Manual*, by former Peru Peace Corps Volunteer Coordinator Jocelyn Danielle Hospital (in bibliography).⁴⁸

Identify the Type and Level of Service Desired

The type of service desired can cover any sector that produces waste or can involve a combination of several sectors. Be sure to prioritize services based on waste generation and feasibility. Options can in-

clude trash pick up from residences, commercial establishments, institutions (such as health centers and schools), and public places (such as parks and plazas).

Level of Service: Coverage of Collection

When starting a waste management collection system, start small. Use this opportunity as a test run to make observations about the process, noting challenges and best practices. This can also serve as a model to educate other community members about the project. Organizers can

Suggestion

If your community begins a trash collection program, it's best if it starts small. Community participants can learn what works best, and which programs and activities can be expanded or should be discontinued.

also use a series of small activities to gauge the interest and dedication of project participants before embarking on a large-scale project. Once a system that works well has been established, it will be easier to expand into other neighborhoods/communities/etc.

Level of Service: Frequency of Collection

When starting a waste collection system, the idea is to find a balance between convenience for community members and operation costs. Obviously, the higher the frequency of waste collection, the easier it is for community members to take out all the waste produced for collection. On the other hand, such a high frequency of waste collection would only make sense in a community producing enormous quantities of waste. Generally, in small, rural communities, the recommended frequency of collection is once a week (to avoid flies, pests, and bad odors while also keeping operation costs to a minimum). Use the information gathered during the waste diagnostic to determine an optimal waste collection schedule.

Organizational Options

There are various options available for the organization of an SWM program. The first choice is to set up a collection and disposal system with the municipality. This requires that the municipality assign a budget to the program and provide the personnel to both manage and run the project. This is not an easy task. This method normally requires months of preparation and a municipality with the interest and funds to carry out such a program. If the local municipality (i.e., village government) does not show interest in the issue of solid waste management, but the community is supportive and well organized, project organizers can lobby the municipality and present the program proposal at the annual participatory budget meeting. What's required is an idea popular in the community along with the support of a well-

^{48.} https://pclive.peacecorps.gov/pclive/index.php/environment/item/1353-solid-waste-management-a-facilitators-manual-2008-peru

organized group of people to prepare the proposal. However, keep in mind that this can be a very political process, which may not always appear rational or fair. This annual meeting may be the only formal opportunity to solicit funds from the municipality. Small funds can be solicited in most municipalities on other occasions, but this funding is not guaranteed even if promised.

Another option is to work with local/regional businesses that are willing to fund the operational costs of a small SWM project. In the case of a middle-income country such as Peru, many large agribusinesses and tourism operations have the money and interest to invest in a project that will improve the standard of living of its workers and the image of the company (or companies). In recent years there has been an increased interest in social responsibility campaigns in that country, and it's a great opportunity for communities and Volunteers to take advantage of. Also, local businesses are great sources for donations (old barrels to be used as trash cans, recyclable material to sell, fruit to feed kids that participated in a clean-up campaign, etc.) and a medium to announce events and/or convey a message (posters in the lunchroom, announcements at meetings, etc.).

In the case of recycling, a group of project participants can work directly with informal recyclers to set up a more formal system of collection, house-by-house. This is a good option because it is in the best interest of community members (especially in very poor areas) to sell their recyclable materials to the recyclers. Also, by working with the recyclers, there is no need to set up a system from scratch. This system works especially well in establishments like schools and clubs as a way to raise money.

Evaluation of the Alternatives

Storage and Collection

Method	Advantages	Disadvantages
Public Storage and Communal Collection	 Quick collection time No set schedule required 	 Waste may not be placed in containers, attracting flies and animals, and can produce strong odors Neighbors do not want containers near their houses Misuse of containers leads to high maintenance costs
Storage and Collection by Streets or Sectors	 Relatively quick collection time 	 Requires the collaboration of neighbors to take out their waste on time Neighbors do not want the collection point near their houses Requires neighbors to leave their house and walk to the collection point
Storage and Collection in Front of Houses	 Easy for households Relatively quick collection time 	 Requires a set collection schedule that is followed Waste left out too long attracts flies and animals, and can produce strong odors
Storage and Collection House-by-House	 Easy for households Few problems with flies, animals, or odors 	Slow collection processRequires a relatively set schedule

There are four alternatives for storage and collection of solid waste, each with advantages and disadvantages:

Transportation

When determining which method of transporting collected solid waste is most appropriate for the community, organizers should factor in maintenance costs, road conditions, and availability. It is better to opt for the simplest method feasible for the level of solid waste produced and the size and road conditions of the community.

Options in Peru, ranked according to cost and labor, include:



(From Non-Governmental Refuse Collection in Low-Income Urban Areas, Roger Pfammatter & Roland Schertenleib, pg. 9.)

Final Disposal

A sanitary landfill is the systematic burial of solid waste to diminish adverse health and environmental effects. As a temporary resident of the community, it is not the role of the Volunteer to initiate or lead efforts to construct such a landfill. If the community identifies construction of a landfill as the best solution to their solid waste management issues, then the Volunteer may use appreciative inquiry, asset mapping, and other PACA tools to work with community members needing access to the requisite technical expertise, human resources, and local authorities. A Volunteer should always bear in mind that one of the key principles of Peace Corps service is **"Do No Harm."** Construction of any facility designed for the permanent storage of wastes may entail significant long-term environmental or health risks and requires: 1) significant community support, 2) the formal approval of the local governance structures (to ensure that there is adequate maintenance of the proposed site), 3) an Environmental Impact Assessment, and 4) qualified technicians for pre-site inspection, development of the landfill, and post-opening monitoring (http://jocelynhospital.blogspot.com/).

Reuse and Recycling Alternatives

Ideally, a community waste management system will incorporate recycling. In some communities, informal recycling networks exist where people collect, deliver, and receive payment for recyclables on their own. After learning how these informal systems operate, work with community members to identify places where community solid waste management systems can also deliver recyclables, a key requirement if a recycling program is going to succeed. The lifecycle of recyclables:

- 1. Segregation-at-the-source recycling plant is identified
- 2. Selective collection
- 3. Collection center
- 4. Sale of material to middlemen
- 5. Transport to the recycling plant
- 6. Consumption

The main points to evaluate when considering a recycling program are:

- Financial aspects (Is a recycling program economically feasible? See the market study below.)
- Organizational aspects (Who will be in charge of the program? Where are the targeted sources? How long will the program operate?)
- Educational aspects (How will your community encourage participation?)
- Logistical aspects (Is there a secure area to store the material? Who will buy the recyclable material? How will it be transported?)

Establishing a Community Solid Waste Collection Center

Community collection centers are where community residents can take their waste to be disposed of or, ideally, recycled. Some centers also educate, demonstrating to people how to handle various types of solid waste, e.g., organic waste and toxic waste. Some centers collect organic waste and make compost that community residents can take home.

Functioning collection centers require an appropriate piece of land, ideally somewhere on the outskirts of the community where people will not be constantly exposed to piles of waste and foul odors. People need to be found to work at the centers. Community members need to know the centers exist, have the means to transport their waste to the center, and know the types of waste the collection centers will collect. And, crucially, the collected waste has to be transported to a recycling center or some other appropriate destination.

As with community recycling programs, main points to evaluate when considering establishing a collection center are:

- Financial aspects (Is a collection center program economically feasible? Where will the money come for staff salaries, equipment, materials, and land?)
- Organizational aspects (Who will be in charge of the program? Where will staff come from and how will they be trained and paid? What different components will the collection center include, e.g., a sanitary landfill, containers for recyclables, a community compost pile, community educational programs? How long will the program operate?)
- Educational aspects (How will the community encourage proper public use of the collection center?)
- Logistical aspects (Where will the collection center be situated? Can different types of waste be stored there safely with acceptable impact (noise, odors, blowing trash, etc.) on adjacent neighborhoods? What will happen with different types of waste (organic, recyclables, hazardous, etc.)? How will community waste be transported to the center? How will recyclables and other waste categories be transported from the center to wherever they are supposed to go?)

As can be seen, many different moving parts need to be in place for collection centers to function properly. Consequently, what is required of Volunteers working alongside community members to help establish and manage them will vary. Among other things, Volunteers and community partners can try to spark community leader interest, help organize and facilitate meetings, assist in planning, arrange for technical support, assist in locating sources of funding, help troubleshoot issues that may arise, and provide ongoing encouragement and motivation. Community leaders and participants need to commit to operating the collection centers after the Volunteers depart; subsequent Volunteers might be placed in the communities to follow-up and contribute toward keeping the new centers operating.

Chapter 4: Survey Instruments, Tools, and Methodologies for Effective SWM Interventions

Once you have conducted your community assessment (using your PACA toolkit) and your findings indicate that 1) management of solid waste is a concern expressed by numerous community members and 2) there is an interest in the community in doing something about it, what are the next steps?

You have your community assessment, but how do you, your counterpart, and your involved community members convince those community members that did not participate in the assessment that SWM is indeed an issue that has significant community support? The first step is to provide evidence. Ideally, there would have been previous surveys undertaken by various stakeholders (municipal/village authorities, civil society organizations, etc.) that would provide such evidence. In the absence of such data, you

will need to work with interested community members to collect this information by conducting stakeholder surveys. Given the complexity of sociocultural issues involved in requesting information, combined with your limited knowledge of the community and your evolving language skills, the actual survey should be conducted by long-standing and respected members of the community. Your role in this process can be to assist in developing the specific survey instruments and tools that these community members will use.

Suggestion

Community members should conduct a survey to show the level of interest within the community for addressing waste management issues, and whether current services could be improved.

As with any survey instrument, a representative sample size will need to participate. If it is very large number (say 50% of community households), does your group have the resources (human, transport, material) to conduct such a survey? If it is very small (say 5% of community households), would the survey be representative of the views of the community? Your community colleagues may wish to try something in between (maybe 20% of community households). As an example of how to ascertain the views of community members, a sample household survey is included below.

In many areas of the world, solid waste management is one of the key responsibilities of local government. If there are currently no municipal waste management services available or the community finds these services inadequate, community leaders may wish to conduct a survey to identify current bottlenecks or barriers to improved service. Such a survey should identify what is being done at the *institutional* (as opposed to household) level. This survey need not be extensive, and will serve to indicate who (government, private sector, civil society) is doing what in the areas of SWM.

If there is currently no municipal service for SWM and the community would like to establish such a service, then armed with the aforementioned surveys (indicating community support and the absence of adequate SWM services), the next step would be to embark on an exercise to determine the costs associated with establishing an SWM system. This entails identifying all the inputs required to design and implement an effective system, and estimating the costs and expected lifespan. (The examples cited below are also taken from exercises conducted by PCV Jocelyn Hospital in Peru.⁴⁹)

^{49.} http://jocelynhospital.blogspot.com/

Household Survey

HOME SOLID WASTE SURVEY

Name:	
Address:	District:
Survey performed by:	Date:

GENERAL DATA:

- Age:
 - 10 14 years () 15 19 years ()
 - o **20 24 () 25 29 ()**
 - \circ 30 39 () 40 49 ()
 - $\circ~$ 50 59 () 60 and over ()
- Sex: Female () Male ()
- Level of Education Achieved:
 - No schooling () Some primary school ()
 - Primary school complete () Some secondary school ()
 - Secondary school complete () Technical school ()
 - College or University ()
- Civil Status:
 - Single () Married ()
 - Separated () Widow/Widower ()
- Occupation:
- How many people live in your home? Males: Females:
- What organizations are active in your community?
- Roughly how much does your family earn per month?

GENERATION OF SOLID WASTE

- What types of trash do you throw away?
 - Food scraps () Paper () Plastic bottles () Metal cans ()
 - Other () Describe
- In what kind of trash container do you deposit your trash?
 - Plastic Bag () Sack () Box () Trash can ()
 - Other () Describe
- How many days does it typically take to fill up your trash container?
 - \circ 1 day () 2 days () 3 days () 4 days () More than 4 days ()
- Do you clean your trash container? Yes () No () How often?.....

- Where do you keep your trash container?
 - Kitchen () Yard () Other () Describe
- Do you think there is a better place to put the trash container?
 - Yes () Where?..... Why?
 - No()
- Who in your family is mostly in charge of disposing of trash?
 - Me () Father () Mother () Son () Daughter () Older Brother ()
 - o Older Sister () Younger Brother () Younger Sister () Whoever ()
- Is the trash container covered?
 - Yes () No () Sometimes ()
- How often is trash collected from your home?
 - Every day () Every 2-3 days () Weekly () Rarely () Never ()
- Who collects the trash from your home?
 - o The community () Small business () Name
 - Unknown () Other () Describe
 - Trash not collected ()
- Does trash accumulate in your home that is not deposited in a trash container
 - Yes()
 - What is done with this trash?
 - Burned () Buried () Deposited in the street () Deposited in the stream ()
 - Taken to a dump/landfill ()
 - Other () Describe.....
- Do you think there are better ways to handle trash?
 - Yes () What would they be?
 - o No()
- Is there a dump/landfill near your house that you can use?
 - Yes()
 - Is it convenient? Yes () No ()
 - Why or why not.....
 - No()
- What diseases can be spread by accumulating trash?

Why do you think litter exists in your neighborhood?

.....

.....

When during the day do you wash your hands?

•	Have you participated in any neighborhood clean-up effort?
	 Yes () How long ago? Who organized it? No ()
TRASH	REUSE AND RECYCLING
•	What do you do with food scraps?
	• Throw them in the trash () Throw them outside the home ()
	 Reuse: Yes () Composting? () Feeding animals? () Other? () Describe
	 Other () Describe No ()
•	What do you do with empty glass bottles?
	\circ Throw in the trash () Recycle () Sell ()
	 Reuse () Describe Other () Describe
•	What do you do with empty plastic bottles?
	 A Reuse () Describe
	 Make new items () Describe
	\circ Other () Describe
•	What do you do with used plastic bags?
	 Throw in the trash () Burn () Use for garbage ()
	• Other () Describe
•	What do you do with metal cans?
	 Inrow in the trash () Recycle () Sell () Use for other purposes () Describe
	 Other () Describe
•	What do you do with paper (including cardboard and newspaper)?
	\circ Throw in the trash () Recycle () Burn ()
	 Use for other purposes () Describe
•	Are you satisfied with the trash collection service in your neighborhood?
	 Yes () No () Why?
•	If your home doesn't have regular trash collection, would you be interested in obtaining it?
	• Yes () No () Why?
	 If yes, how often would you prefer trash to be collected? Every day () Every two days () Once a week () Every other week ()
٠	Would you be willing to pay for a collection service provided by a micro-enterprise?
	• Yes () How much? :
	 No () Why?

NOTES AND OBSERVATIONS

	 	••••••	
••••••	 	••••••	•••••
	 	••••••	

Municipal Survey (in Spanish)⁵⁰

Formato de Diagnóstico del Servicio de Limpieza Pública

	FASE	E 1: DIAGNOSTICO	
	Distrito:	Localidad:	
	Provincia:	Departamento:	
	Teléfono:		
	Fax:		
	E-mail: Nombre del entrevistado:		
	Cargo:		
	E-mail:		
1.	. DATOS GENERALES		
1.1	.1. Tipo de Administración:		
	Administración directa () Empresa N	Municipal () Empresa privada ()	Empresa mixta (
1 -	2 Dirección:		Empresa mixia (
1.4	2 Desensable del éses de limite	- Dáblicov	Destación
1.5	.3. Responsable del Area de Limpiez	a Publica:	Protesion:
1.4	.4. Organismos de control y supervisión:		
1.5	.5. Presupuesto eiecutado del año anterior er	n nuevos soles: (pedir documentació	n) [.]
		\F	.,
2.	DATOS DISTRITALES		
	2.1. Características Demográficas:		
	0.4.4 Dablasián:		
	2.1.1.Poblacion.		
	2.1.2.Tasa de crecimiento:		
	2.2. Características geográficas:		
	2.2.1.Superficie: km2		
	2.2.2 Tipo de Calles		

^{50.} Source: Diagnostico de los Residuos Solidos en el Distrito de El Carmen y Centro Poblado San Jose, pp. 37–40.

TIPO	%
Pavimentadas	
Sin pavimentar	
Inaccesibles	
Total	100

2.2.3.Distribución

Características	Población (%)	Frecuencia de servicio de recolección
URBANA		
 Comercial 		
 Residencial 		
RURAL		

3. EQUIPAMIENTO

3.1. Vehículo

	CANT	MARCA	CARAC	AÑO	CONDICION *									
UNIDADES	CANT.	MARCA	CAPAC.	ANO	OP.	REG.	F.S.	ALQ.						
Compactador														
Volquete														
Baranda														
Camioneta														
Cargador frontal														
Auto														
Otros														

(*) OP. (Operativa) Reg.(Regular) F.S.(Fuera de Servicio) ALQ.(Alquilado)

3.2. Parqueo Automotor

Propio () Área ()m2 Alquilado () Costo ()

3.3. Servicio de Mantenimiento

Frecuencia: - Semanal (- Mensual (- Bimensual(- Trimestral(- Semestral(- Ocasional(- Por fallas ())))	
<i>Talleres:</i> - Propio (- Terceros ())	Costos: -

3.4. Herramientas

DESCRIPCION	CANT.	DURACION	MARCA	AREA DE USO
Escobas				
Lampas				
Coches				
Cilindros				
Recogedores				
Carretillas				
Zapas				
Rastrillos				
Otros				

4. GENERACIÓN DE RESIDUOS SÓLIDOS

Origen	Generación (Ton/día) ó (m³/día)	Observaciones
Domiciliario		
Mercados		
Hospitales y centros de salud		
Maleza		
Desmonte		
Otros (especifique):		
Total (Ton/día ó M3/día)		

5. UBICACIÓN DE PUNTOS CRÍTICOS NDE ACUMULACIÓN DE RESIDUOS SÓLIDOS

Ubicación	Área o volumen estimado de residuo que se almacena (Ton/día ó m³/día)	Observaciones

6. RECOLECCIÓN

6.1. Descripción del sistema:

 	• • • •	 	 	 	 	 	 	• • •	• • • •	 	 	• • • •	 	 	 	 	 	 • • • •	 	 	 	 • • • •	

6.2. Personal

TURNOS	CANTIDAD DE PERSONAL	HORARIO					
MAÑANA							
TARDE							
NOCHE							
Número o código de identifica- cion del camión o unidad recolectora	Marca	Tipo (baranda, compacta- dor, triciclo, etc)	Año de fabri- cación	Capaci- dad por viaje (Ton ó m³)	Número de viajes por turno	Número de viajes por día	Cantidad total de residuo recolectado por día (Ton ó m³/día)
---	-------	---	----------------------------	--	----------------------------------	--------------------------------	--

6.3. Unidades recolectoras

7. COBERTURA

Nombre de las Zonas Atendidas	Población	Frecuencia promedio de recolección (diaria, interdiaria, etc.)	Volumen de residuo que se genera en la zona (Ton/día o m³/día)

Servicios Especiales:

SERVICIOS	N° ESTABLECI- MIENTOS	FRECUENCIA	VEHICULO	CAPACIDAD
Mercados				
Hospitales				
Industrias				
Otros				

8. DISPOSICIÓN FINAL

- 8.1. Nombre del sitio de disposición final:
- 8.2. Ubicación de la zona de disposición final:
- 8.3. Cantidad de residuo que se dispone (ton/día o m³/día):
- 8.4. Distancia a la disposición final: _____ Km.
- 8.5. Tratamiento del residuo sólido:

Enterramiento:	Si / No
Quema:	Si / No
Reciclaje:	Si / No
Ninguno:	Si / No
Otro (especifique):	

9. ADMINISTRACIÓN Y FINANCIAMIENTO DEL SERVICIO DE LIMPIEZA PÚBLICA

9.1. Personal asignado directamente al área de Limpieza Pública

Descripción de la labor	Número de trabajadores	Sueldo a la fecha
Jefe / Encargado		
Personal administrativo		
Capataz		
Choferes		
Ayudantes de camión o unidad		
recolectora.		
Barrenderos		
Otros (especifique		

9.2. Arbitrios

Sistema usado de cobranza:

Directo: () Empresa privada: () Otros: () Especificar:

Frecuencia de cobranza y monto:

Mensual: () Bimensual: () Trimestral: () Semestral: () Otros: () Especificar:

Ingreso <u>anual o mensual</u> por concepto de limpieza pública: S/. /mes o año (especifique si es anual o mensual)

Egreso <u>anual o mensual</u> por concepto de limpieza pública: S/. /mes o año (especifique si es anual o mensual)

Número de familias o predios atendidos con facturación: _____

Número de familias o predios que pagan puntualmente: _____

Tarifa: (especifique si es mensual o anual)

10. PARTICIPACION VECINAL

TIPO DE ORGANIZACION	CANTIDAD	Existe Dirigencia
CLUBES DE MADRES		
VASO DE LECHE		
COMUNIDADES		
CENTROS POBLADOS RURALES		
OTROS		

11. PRIORIZACIÓN DE LOS PROBLEMAS

A continuación priorice los problemas indicando la escala: - alta prioridad (3) - mediana prioridad (2) - baja prioridad (1) - nula prioridad (0)

	Área/problema	Valor de la prioridad (del 3 al 0)
•	Equipamiento	
•	Financiamiento	
•	Organización interna	
•	Burocracia	
•	Capacitación del personal	
•	Motivación del personal	
•	Coordinación interinstitucional	
•	Participación de la población	
•	Legislación	
•	Otros (especifique y asigne el valor de prioridad):	

12. PROYECTOS O INICIATIVAS EN CURSO O POR EJECUTAR

Titulo o iniciativa,	descripción breve de la , indicando el período de ejecución	Situa En ejecución	ación Por ejecutar	Fuente de financiamiento	Unidad ejecutora

OTROS ASPECTOS DE RELEVANCIA QUE SE DESEAN DESTACAR EN EL DIAGNOSTICO:

Budget Projections

(English/Spanish, using the Peruvian sol as the unit of currency)

Sample Cost Projection for the Implementation Stage of a Waste Management Program (district-wide program, covering about 15,000 people)

Financial and physical resources listed in this sample are for illustrative purposes only. Many communities will not have such resources available.

First Step

- Environmental Education Project: "Educating the District About Household Solid Waste Management"
 - Printing of displays: 900.00 soles
 - Recording of radio spots: 100.00 soles
 - Printing of information flyers: 250.00 soles
 - Support personnel and evaluation: 800.00 soles
 - Total: 2,050.00 soles
- Pilot Waste Separation Project: "Separating Our Solid Waste"
 - Plastic bags to deliver to homes to separate waste: 750.00 soles
 - Sacks for storing separated trash: 1,800.00 soles
 - Table for separating trash: 200.00 soles
 - Total: 2,750.00 soles
- Organizational Strengthening: "Improving Organization for Local Action"
 - Training refreshments and materials: 1,000.00 soles
 - Awards for best organization performance: 1,500.00 soles
 - Total: 2,500.00 soles
- Human Resources:
 - Professional manager: (900 soles/month) 10,800.00 soles
 - Total: 10,800.00 soles

Cost summary during the first project step

- Educating the Public About Solid Waste Management
 - 6 months; 2,050.00 soles
- Separating Solid Waste
 - 9 months; 2,750 soles
- Organizational Strengthening
 - 3 months; 2,500.00 soles
- Human Resources
 - 12 months 10,800.00 soles

Total 18,100 soles

Second Step

- Institutional Strengthening: "Strengthening Municipal Management of Solid Waste"
 - Installation of computerized accounting system: 100.00 soles
 - Inventory of contributors: 500.00 soles
 - Training of service personnel: 2,000.00 soles
 - Municipal regulations: 250.00 soles
 - Internships for service personnel: 800.00 soles
 - Total: 3,650.00 soles
- Equipment and Infrastructure of the Solid Waste Management System
 - Street sweepers: personnel, tools and equipment, sweeping plan, sweeping supervision, increase in personnel:
 - 380 soles/person/month; 27,360.00 soles
 - Tools: 2,400.00 soles
 - Equipment and materials: 2,500.00 soles
 - Sweeping plan: 250.00 soles
 - Sweeping supervision: 180.00 soles
 - Total: 32,690.00 soles
- Solid Waste Storage and Separation at the Source: homes, schools, municipal buildings, commercial establishments:
 - Plastic bags to separate trash at the source: 8,750.00 soles
 - Digital scale: 2,000.00 soles
 - Sacks for storing separated trash: 1,800.00 soles
 - Table for separating trash: 100.00 soles
 - Total: 12,650.00 soles
- Revision of the Route Plan, Replacement of Machinery and Equipment, Supervision of Personnel
 - Revision of the route plan: 150.00 soles
 - Purchase of a compactor: 320,000.00 soles
 - Supervision of personnel: 800.00 soles
 - Total: 320,950.00 soles
- Handling of Solid Waste
 - Construction of a solid waste storage facility; creation of waste separator and marketer organizations
 - Construction of a solid waste storage facility: 20,000.00 soles
 - Establishment of a site for composting organic waste: 3,000.00 soles
 - Creation of waste separator and marketer organizations: 900.00 soles
 - Total: 23,900.00

Example of Costs Associated with an Urban Solid Waste Management System (Spanish)

Disposición Final: Acondicionamiento de Botaderos

Municipales: Botadero Municipal de Vice y Botadero, Municipal de Becará

- Fase de Cierre Botadero Vice 10,000.00
- Fase de Cierre Botadero Becará 10,000.00
- TOTAL S/. 20,000.00

Proyecto: "Relleno Sanitario de Vice": Relleno Sanitario Manual: Vida útil 10 años

- Mejoramiento de Vías de acceso 5,000.00
- Estudio de Impacto Ambiental 10,000.00
- Saneamiento Físico Legal 5,000.00
- Construcción de 20 celdas 75,000.00
- Construcción de Infraestructura (caseta), Cerco Vivo, drenaje y otros 64,400.00
- Plan de Cierre 40,000.00
- TOTAL S/. 199,400.00

Proyecto: Micro Relleno Sanitario Manual en el Centro Poblado Menor La Tortuga: Vida útil 15 años

- Mejoramiento de Vías de acceso 25,000.00
- Estudio de Impacto Ambiental 10,000.00
- Saneamiento Físico Legal 5,000.00
- Construcción de 15 celdas 65,000.00
- Construcción de Infraestructura (caseta), Cerco Vivo, drenaje y otros 100,000.00
- Plan de Cierre 50,000.00
- TOTAL S/. 255,000.00

Recursos Humanos

- Profesional encargado (S/. 1 200 mes) 14,400.00
- TOTAL S/. 14,400.00

Cuadro № 15: Resumen De Costos De La Segunda Etapa Proyecto/Aspecto Plazo Costo Total

Fortalecimiento del Sistema de Gestión de Residuos Sólidos de la Municipalidad Distrital de Vice

- 5 meses
- 3,650.00

Equipamiento e Infraestructura del Sistema de Gestión de Residuos Sólidos

- 12 meses
- 410,290.00

Relleno Sanitario de Vice 6 meses 199,400.00

Micro Relleno Sanitario Manual en el Centro Poblado Menor La Tortuga

- 6 meses
- 255,000.00

Recursos Humanos 12 meses 14,400.00

TOTAL S/. 882,740.00

Example of Costs Associated with an Urban Solid Waste Management System Expenses⁵¹ Staff

- Administrative and Technical (01) S/. 12,000.00
- Technician (01) S/. 8,400.00
- Worker (09) S/. 53,003.20

Gas and Lubricants

- Gas 52 weeks x 40 gal. / week x S/. 5 / gal. S/. 10,400.00
- Lubricants (15% of gas) S/. 1,560.00

Maintenance

- 01 Garbage Truck (5% of gas) S/. 520.00
- 02 Tricycle Carts (S/. 360.00 c/u) S/. 720.00

Tools

- Dust Pans (9 Workers x 6 Dust Pans / Workers x S/. 15 p/u) S/. 810.00
- Brooms (9 Workers x 12 Brooms / Workers x S/. 5 /Broom) S/. 540.00
- Rakes (9 Workers x 8 Rakes / Workers x S/. 5 / Rake) S/. 360.00

Depreciation

- Life of a Garbage Truck 10 años
- Life of a Tricycle Cart 2 años
- 01 Garbage Truck (S/. 84,000.00 / 10 years) 8,400.00
- 02 Tricycle Carts (S/. 1,200 x 2 units / 2 years) 1,200.00

Total Expenses S/. (3.5 Nuevos Soles 1 US Dollar) 97,913.20

^{51.} Source: Guíametodológica Para La Formulación De Planes Integrales De Gestión Ambiental De Residuos Sólidos, Conam p. 101.

Calculating the Economic Viability of Recycling (Peruvian sol as unit of currency)⁵²

Market Study

Material	Amount Able to be Collected Daily (kg/day)	Local Market Price per Kg (S/.)	Total Amount (S/.)
White Paper		0.50	
Plastic PET (soda bottles)		0.50 - 0.70	
Glass (white)		0.10 - 0.20	
Hard Plastic (buckets, yogurt bottle, etc.)		0.20 - 0.40	
Iron		0.10	
Tin (milk cans)		0.20 - 0.30	
Mixed Paper (magazines)		0.05	
Cardboard		0.20	
Newspaper		0.20 - 0.30	

Material	Amount Able to be Collected Daily (kg/day)	Local Market Price per Kg (S/.)	Total Amount (S/.)
Compost			

*The average production of compost is 1/3 the amount of organic waste collected, starting in the third-fourth month

As noted earlier, if a recycling program isn't economically viable on a community-wide level, try working with community groups or institutions that are already organized (schools are great, as are women's clubs and youth groups). A specific program working directly with one group will drastically cut down on expenses (educational campaigns, transport, etc.) and be much easier to plan and organize. These types of targeted programs are also more likely to be sustainable because there is a smaller group of people directly benefiting from the recycling program.

Other points to consider:

- Does an informal recycling system exist in the community/district? If so, what would be the implications of creating a more formalized recycling system? Is it possible to work through these informal recyclers?
- Are there accessible local markets for recyclable products (informal or formal)?

^{52.} Source: Guía Técnica para la Formulación e Implementación de Planes de Minimización y Reaprovechamiento de Residuos Sólidos en el Nivel Municipal, CONAM p. 33.

• Are there fluctuating local prices for recyclable products? Do prices differ substantially between local buyers? Would it be more feasible to collect and sell only one or two types of waste (for example soda bottles or white paper)?

Reuse of Organic Waste: Composting/Vermiculture

Points to consider:

- Quantity and quality of organic material available
- Willingness of community members and community leaders to separate their organic waste
- Appropriate location and size of the composting area (Does the area have a fence?)
- Access to water

Fundraising and Accounting

The most important point to remember when looking for funding sources for a project is to use creativity. Fundraising events like raffles and auctions are good ways to get a project started or to earn some extra funds for a project. On the other hand, there should be a sustainable source of income to keep the project running. This will be much easier if the project is simple and small. Project participants should try to secure donations from local businesses, NGOs, and the municipality (if they are not already supporting the project).

With respect to tracking expenses, be sure to keep a detailed accounting spreadsheet to both calculate cost projections and record actual costs.

PCV Template for Behavior Change Planning

Part I. Behavior Change Planning Process

PCV Name	
Environmental Issue	
Behavior	
Target Group:	
Demographics, want, need, have, where they are, other useful info	
Influencing Group:	
Demographics, want, need, have, where they are, other useful info	
Barriers/Incentives	
Bridges to Activities	

Part II: Connect Activities to the Sector Goals and Objectives & Plan Tasks

Activity Title (as in LPF)		
Activity Description		
Goals and Objectives of Activity		
Task	Person Responsible	Timeline

Part III: Plan for Monitoring, Evaluation, and Reporting

How to Use the Activity Planning and Implementation Tracker and the Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) Plan

As a Volunteer, use the PACA tools to identify local priorities/needs and possible actions/activities to take in your community. Fill in the tracker below as you plan how to implement these actions/activities as you partner with members of your community. This tracker can help you and your community to prioritize and assess the feasibility of each action/activity that works toward a larger community-prioritized objective.

As activities are planned and committed to by you and your community, enter the activities in "planned" status with the relevant details in the Volunteer Portal. You will need to link your activities to a framework and post activity identified in an LPF or initiative at your post. The framework and "post activity" will determine which outputs and outcomes are expected as a result of the activity and will help direct you in the use of the corresponding monitoring and evaluation (M&E) plan. The M&E plan in addition to this tracker helps you to:

- identify relevant data-collections tools and requirements;
- use as reference during data entry into the Volunteer Portal to report timely, complete, and accurate data; and
- document the required evidence supporting your reported data.

As you start to plan and implement activities, make sure to update the Volunteer Portal with any changes to status, duration, or implementation scope as well as outputs/outcomes achieved on an ongoing basis. This information can be seen immediately by post staff to help support you in implementation and improve the anticipated outputs/outcomes.

During each phone call, check-in, or site visit, ask your PM/PTA to review your planned activities and discuss possible opportunities and challenges for implementation and sustainability. Your PM/PTA may have suggestions—for example, about how to increase the impact of the activity, how to tie it more closely into your project's focus areas to ensure sustainability, or how to better monitor or evaluate the activity.

Activity Tracker for Planning and Prioritization

For each capacity area/statement that the community has prioritized for solid waste management, complete the following basic action planning table with possible actions/activities that could support progress toward the objective. Rank the possible actions in terms of locally defined priority and feasibility, including consideration of the resources needed, opportunities, and/or challenges identified. Make additional copies of the below template for each capacity area. After committing to actions, enter the activity in the Volunteer Portal in "planned" status and update on an ongoing basis as implementation starts/ends and data is collected according to the corresponding M&E plan.

Capacity Development/Focus Area: ______

Objective for Improvement: _____

Priority (ranking)	Feasibility (ranking)	Action/Activity	Projected Start Date/ End Date	Resources Needed	Person(s) Responsible	Possible Challenges/ Opportunities

Capacity Development/Focus Area: _____

Objective for Improvement: _____

Priority (ranking)	Feasibility (ranking)	Action/Activity	Projected Start Date/ End Date	Resources Needed	Person(s) Responsible	Possible Challenges/ Opportunities

Examples of Materials Made from Recycled Plastics

In recent years, Peace Corps Volunteers in Armenia, Dominican Republic, Mexico, Paraguay, Senegal, and elsewhere have been very active in working with local communities and stakeholders to produce useful materials, such as soccer nets, building materials, and other items, from locally generated wastes. Guidance on how to mobilize youth and community actors to create these items is included in the section on solid waste activities in the document *Environmental Activities for Youth Camps and Clubs* (pp. 159–172).⁵³ Below are some examples of what has been done with recycled and repurposed plastic.

Plastic purses



^{53.} https://pclive.peacecorps.gov/pclive/index.php/pclive-resources/resource-library/2108-m0126-environmental-activities-508/file

Soccer net made from recycled water sachets



Making bottle bricks or eco bricks



Classroom built partially with eco bricks



Building with eco bricks



Eco brick bench



Glossary

aerobic composting

A method of composting organic wastes using bacteria that need oxygen. This requires that the waste be exposed to air, either via turning or by forcing air through pipes that pass through the material.

anaerobic digestion

A method of composting that does not require oxygen. This composting method produces methane. Also known as anaerobic composting.

compost

The material resulting from composting. Compost, also called humus, is a soil conditioner and in some instances is used as a fertilizer.

composting

Biological decomposition of solid organic materials by bacteria, fungi, and other organisms into a soillike product.

groundwater

Water beneath the earth's surface that fills underground pockets (known as aquifers), supplying wells and springs.

hazardous waste

Waste that is reactive, toxic, corrosive, or otherwise dangerous to living things and/or the environment. Many industrial by-products are hazardous.

heavy metals

Metals of high atomic weight and density, such as mercury, lead, and cadmium, that are toxic to living organisms.

household hazardous waste

Products used in residences (such as paints and some cleaning compounds) that are toxic to living organisms and/or the environment.

incineration

The process of burning solid waste under controlled conditions to reduce its weight and volume, and often to produce energy.

informal sector

The part of an economy that is characterized by private, usually small-scale, labor-intensive, largely unregulated, and unregistered manufacturing or provision of services.

inorganic waste

Waste composed of material other than plant or animal matter, such as sand, dust, glass, and many synthetics.

itinerant waste buyer

A person who moves around the streets buying (or bartering for) reusable and recyclable materials.

landfill gases

Gases arising from the decomposition of organic wastes, principally methane, carbon dioxide, and hydrogen sulfide. Such gases may cause explosions at landfills.

landfilling

The final disposal of solid waste by placing it in a controlled fashion in a place intended to be permanent. The UNEP *Source Book* (see Sources below) uses this term for both controlled dumps and sanitary landfills.

leachate

Liquid (which may be partly produced by decomposition of organic matter) that has seeped through a landfill or a compost pile and has accumulated bacteria and other possibly harmful dissolved or suspended materials. If uncontrolled, leachate can contaminate both groundwater and surface water.

leachate pond

A pond or tank constructed at a landfill to receive the leachate from the area. Usually the pond is designed to provide some treatment of the leachate, by allowing settlement of solids or by aeration to promote biological processes.

lift

The completed layer of compacted waste in a cell at a landfill.

liner

A protective layer, made of soil and/or synthetic materials, installed along the bottom and sides of a landfill to prevent or reduce the flow of leachate into the environment.

manual landfill

A landfill in which most operations are carried out without the use of mechanized equipment.

market waste

Primarily organic waste, such as leaves, skins, and unsold food, discarded at or near food markets.

methane

An odorless, colorless, flammable, and explosive gas, produced by anaerobically decomposing municipal solid waste (MSW) at landfills.

open dump

An unplanned "landfill" that incorporates few if any of the characteristics of a controlled landfill. There is typically no leachate control, no access control, no cover, no management, and many waste pickers.

organic waste

Waste containing carbon, including paper, plastics, wood, food wastes, and yard wastes. In practice in MSW management, the term is often used in a more restricted sense to mean material that is more directly derived from plant or animal sources, and that can generally be decomposed by microorganisms.

pathogen

An organism capable of causing disease.

pollution

The contamination of soil, water, or the atmosphere by the discharge of waste or other offensive materials.

recyclables

Items that can be reprocessed into feedstock for new products. Common examples are paper, glass, aluminum, corrugated cardboard, and plastic containers.

recycling

The process of transforming materials into raw materials for manufacturing new products, which may or may not be similar to the original product.

refuse

A term often used interchangeably with solid waste.

sanitary landfill

An engineered method of disposing of solid waste on land, in a manner that meets most of the standard specifications, including sound siting, extensive site preparation, proper leachate and gas management and monitoring, compaction, daily and final cover, complete access control, and record keeping.

secure landfill

A disposal facility designed to permanently isolate wastes from the environment. This entails burial of the wastes in a landfill that includes clay and/or synthetic liners, leachate collection, gas collection (in cases where gas is generated), and an impermeable cover.

vectors

Organisms that carry disease-causing pathogens. At landfills, rodents, flies, and birds are the main vectors that spread pathogens beyond the landfill site.

waste collector

A person employed by a local authority or a private firm to collect waste from residences, businesses, and community bins.

waste dealer

A person who buys recyclable materials from waste generators and itinerant buyers and sells them, after sorting and some processing, to wholesale brokers or recycling industries.

waste picker

A person who picks out recyclables from mixed waste wherever it may be temporarily accessible or disposed of.

waste reduction

All means of reducing the amount of waste that is produced initially and that must be collected by solid waste authorities. This ranges from legislation and product design to local programs designed to keep recyclables and organic materials (that can be used as compost) out of the final waste stream.

waste stream

The total flow of waste from a community, region, or facility.

yard waste

Leaves, grass clippings, prunings, and other natural organic matter discarded from yards and gardens.

Sources

The primary source for the terms in this glossary is:

United Nations Environment Program (UNEP)-IETC. *International Source Book on Environmentally Sound Technologies for Municipal Solid Waste Management*. Technical Publication Series no. 6. Osaka/Shiga: UNEP International Environmental Technology Centre, 1996 (pp. 421–427). This glossary also draws extensively on the following sources:

Ahmed, R., A. van de Klundert, and I. Lardinois. "Rubber Waste, Urban Solid Waste Series, Vol. 3." Amsterdam and Gouda: Tool, Transfer of Technology for Development and WASTE Consultants, 1996.

Beede, D.N., and D.E. Bloom. "The Economics of Municipal Solid Waste." The World Bank Research Observer, Vol. 10, No. 2, August 1995, pp. 113–150.

INFORM, Inc., and Recourse Systems, Inc. "Business Recycling Manual." 1991.

Kreith, F., ed. "Handbook of Solid Waste Management." New York: McGraw-Hill, 1994.

Lardinois, I., and A. van de Klundert. "Organic Waste, Urban Solid Waste Series, Vol. 1." Amsterdam and Gouda: Tool, Transfer of Technology for Development and WASTE Consultants, 1994.

Tchobanoglous, G., H. Theisen, and S. Vigil. "Integrated Solid Waste Management." Engineering Principles and Management Issues. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1993.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. "Decision Makers Guide to Solid Waste Management." Washington: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1989.

U.S. Office of Technology Assessment (OTA). "Facing America's Trash: What Next for Municipal Solid Waste?" Washington: OTA, 1989.

Resources

Bodine, Alicia. "How to Make a Compost Pit." SFGate. nd. https://homeguides.sfgate.com/make-compost-pit-48677.html

Braus, Judy, and David Wood. "Environmental Education in the Schools: Creating a Program that Works!" Peace Corps Publication No. M0044. August 1993. https://files.peacecorps.gov/multimedia/pdf/library/M0044.pdf

Buchholz, Katharina. "The Countries Banning Plastic Bags." Statista.com. June 10, 2020. https://www.statista.com/chart/14120/the-countries-banning-plastic-bags/

CEC. "Environmentally Sound Management of Spent Lead-Acid Batteries in North America: Technical Guidelines." Commission for Environmental Cooperation. 2016. http://www3.cec.org/is-landora/en/item/11665-environmentally-sound-management-spent-lead-acid-batteries-in-north-america

e-Stewards. www.e-stewards.org

Geilfus, Frans. "80 Tools for Participatory Development." Inter-American Institute for Cooperation on Agriculture. 2008. http://repositorio.iica.int/bitstream/11324/4129/2/BVE17089190i.pdf

Global Alliance of Waste Pickers. www.globalrec.org

Hardin, Tad. "Rwanda Plastic Bag Ban." Plastic Oceans. January 23, 2018. https://plasticoceans.org/rwandaplastic-bag-ban

Hoffman, Matthew J., and Eric Hittinger. "Inventory and transport of plastic debris in the Laurentian Great Lakes." Marine Pollution Bulletin, 2016; DOI: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.11.061

Hospital, Jocelyn. "Solid Waste Management." April 2008. http://jocelynhospital.blogspot.com

Hospital, Jocelyn. "Solid Waste Management: A Facilitator's Manual." Peace Corps Peru. 2008. https://pclive.peacecorps.gov/pclive/index.php/environment/item/1353-solid-waste-management-a-facilitators-manual-2008-peru

Jambeck, Jenna R., et al. "Plastic waste inputs from land into the ocean." *Science* 13 Feb 2015: Vol. 347, Issue 6223, pp. 768–771. DOI: 10.1126/science.1260352

Kerlin, Kat. "Our fish comes with a side order of debris." UC Davis. September 24, 2015. https://marinescience.ucdavis.edu/news/our-fish-comes-side-order-debris

Mackenzie, Jillian. "Composting Is Way Easier than You Think." NRDC. June 16, 2016. https://www.nrdc.org/stories/composting-way-easier-you-think?gclid=C0yG3YyulM8CFVBZhgodnLsB9Q

Medina, Martin. "The informal recycling sector in developing countries." Grid Lines. Public-Private Infrastructure Advisory Facility. World Bank. October 2008. https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/ 227581468156575228/pdf/472210BRI0Box31ing1sectors01PUBLIC1.pdf

Ocean Conservancy. "Fighting for Trash Free Seas." nd. https://oceanconservancy.org/trash-free-seas/plastics-in-the-ocean/

Peace Corps. "Environmental Activities for Youth Clubs and Camps." Publication No. M0126. March 2017. https://files.peacecorps.gov/documents/PC_Environmental_Activities_508_mNd3UVx.pdf

Peace Corps. "Soil and Water Conservation for Small Farm Development in the Tropics." Publication No. R0084. July 2014. https://pclive.peacecorps.gov/pclive/index.php/pclive-resources/resource-library/1282-r0084-soil-water-conservation-small-farm-development-tropics/file

Pfammatter, Roger, and Roland Schertenleib. "Non-governmental refuse collection in low-income urban areas: Lessons learned from selected schemes in Asia, Africa, and Latin America." Swiss Federal Institute for Environmental Science and Technology. Dept. of Water and Sanitation in Developing Countries. 1996.

Rochester Institute of Technology. "Researchers estimate 10,000 metric tons of plastic enter Great Lakes every year: Study inventories movement of plastic and microplastic debris throughout lake system." *ScienceDaily*. December 19, 2016. https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2016/12/161219151752.htm

Shusko, Josh. "Recycling When There Is No Recycling Program: Part 3 Steel Cans." May 8, 2015. Our Peace Corps Namibia Blog. https://shusko.wordpress.com/tag/recycling/

Solving the e-Waste Problem. "StEP Papers." http://www.step-initiative.org/publications.html

St. John, Allen. "Why Lithium-Ion Batteries Still Explode, and What's Being Done to Fix the Problem." *Consumer Reports.* September 21, 2016. https://www.consumerreports.org/safety-recalls/why-lithium-ion-batteries-still-explode-and-whats-being-done-to-fix-the-problem/

Sustainable Electronics Recycling International (SERI). www.sustainableelectronics.org

Tucker, Acadia. "How to Start Composting." Stone Pier Press. February 27, 2018. https://www.stonepierpress.org/gardeningnews/howtocompost

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. "Household Hazardous Waste." https://www.epa.gov/hw/household-hazardous-waste-hhw

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. "Household Hazardous Waste Management: A Manual for One-Day Community Collection Programs." August 1993. https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi/ 10000RGD.PDF?Dockey=10000RGD.PDF

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. "Impacts of Mismanaged Trash." https://www.epa.gov/trash-free-waters/impacts-mismanaged-trash

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. "Land, Waste, and Cleanup Topics." https://www.epa.gov/ environmental-topics/land-waste-and-cleanup-topics

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. "Medical Waste." https://www.epa.gov/rcra/medical-waste

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. "Municipal Solid Waste Landfills." https://www.epa.gov/ landfills/municipal-solid-waste-landfills

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. "Scrap Tires: Handbook on Recycling Applications and Management for the U.S. and Mexico." December 2010. https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi/P100SGFE.PDF?Dockey= P100SGFE.PDF

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. "Sustainable Materials Management: Non-Hazardous Materials and Waste Management Hierarchy." https://www.epa.gov/smm/sustainable-materials-management-non-hazardous-materials-and-waste-management-hierarchy

Virginia Tech University. "The Stages of Change: Continuing and Professional Education." nd. http://www.cpe.vt.edu/gttc/presentations/8eStagesofChange.pdf Watts, Jonathan. "Eight Months On, is the World's Most Drastic Plastic Bag Ban Working?" *The Guard-ian*. April 25, 2018. https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/apr/25/nairobi-clean-up-highs-lows-ken-yas-plastic-bag-ban

Zafar, Salman. "Recycling of Lead-Acid Batteries: Perspectives." BioEnergy Consult. March 10, 2020. https://www.bioenergyconsult.com/recycling-lead-acid-batteries/